

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/669,267	69,267 09/25/2003		Marilyn S. Land	1854310	3223	
22824	7590	12/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
_	R. SCHOONC			THOMPSON, HUGH B		
·	11 ROLLING HILLS DRIVE XA. MO 65714-8771			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				3634		
				DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/				ΛÝ						
	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	M						
		10/669,267	LAND, MARILYN S.	•						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
1		Hugh B. Thompson II	3634							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Sta	itus									
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Se	eptember 2004.								
:		action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dis	sposition of Claims	•								
	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.								
Аp	plication Papers									
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,	•	• •						
Pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage							
Δ#-	achment(s)									
1) [2 2) [	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:								

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to claim 2, the applicant fails to provide proper antecedent basis for "the wheel", "one wheel", etc. it is suggested that the applicant recite "at least two wheels", and thereafter, recite "one of said at least two wheels" when referring to one of the wheels. Further, in line 3, there is no antecedent basis for "the foot of a ladder". Finally, in paragraph "d", the applicant fails to provide any structural relationship between the handle and any portion of the accessory or the unclaimed ladder.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuperman #4,911,263. Kuperman discloses a ladder assembly 10 comprised of wheels 14 a-b, gear mechanism 58, wheel axle 24, and operating handle 44, the lower end 52 of which selectively engages the gear mechanism.

Art Unit: 3634

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Greenleaf #3,735,838. Greenleaf discloses a ladder assembly 2, 4, comprised of wheels 18, 76, gear mechanism 38, 40, 78, a wheel axle as best seen in Figures 4 and 5, and operating handle assembly 60, 48, the lower end 42, 80, which selectively engages the gear mechanism.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the structure as recited in subparagraph "a". The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the claimed features absent the applicant's own disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hugh B. Thompson II whose telephone number is (703) 305-0102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9 am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (703) 308-0827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,267

Art Unit: 3634

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hugh B. Thompson II
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3634

December 12, 2004